## JUSTIFY YOUR ANSWERS

## Allowed: Calculator, material handed out in class, handwritten notes (your handwriting) BOOKS ARE NOT ALLOWED

## NOTE:

- The test consists of five questions plus one bonus problem.
- The score is computed by adding all the credits up to a maximum of 10

Exercise 1. [Reduction of risk] An investor needs a stock one year from now. The stock is worth 100 E today and in one year it is expected to be worth 160 E with probability $p$ and 40 E with probability $1-p$. The investor decides to borrow money and buy a call option with a strike price of 100 E -at its fair price. The bank charges $10 \%$ yearly interest.
(a) ( 0.7 pts .) Determine, for each market scenario, the total amount payed by the investor at the end of the year to purchase the stock and cancel the debt.
(b) ( 0.3 pts .) Show that the spread between the maximal and minimal amounts is smaller than the spread between the actual values of the stock at the end of the year.
(c) ( 0.3 pts .) Show that the mean value paid is larger than the mean stock value at time 1 , for some $p$.

Answers:
(a)

$$
\widetilde{p}=\frac{100 \cdot 1.1-40}{160-40}=\frac{7}{12} .
$$

Hence, at the end of the year, the debt of the investor is

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{0} R & =\widetilde{p} V_{1}(H)+\widetilde{q} V_{1}(T) \\
& =\frac{7}{12} 60+\frac{5}{12} 0 \\
& =35
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\omega_{1}=H$ the investor exercises the option and pays

$$
V_{0} R+K=35+100=135 .
$$

If $\omega_{1}=T$ the investor does not exercise the option and pays

$$
V_{0} R+S_{1}(T)=35+40=75 .
$$

(b) The spread of the previous two amounts is $135-75=60$, which is smaller than $S_{1}(H)-S_{1}(T)=$ $160-40=120$.
(c) The mean value paid is

$$
p 135+(1-p) 75=75+60 p
$$

if the investor buys the option, while it is

$$
p S_{1}(H)+(1-p) S_{1}(T)=40+120 p
$$

otherwise. The former is larger if

$$
75+60 p-(40+120 p)=35-60 p \geq 0
$$

that is, if $p \leq 7 / 12$.

Exercise 2. [Discrete stochastic integral] Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a filtration on a probability space. Let $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0},\left(D_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left(W_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ adapted processes satisfying the linear system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{0} & =W_{0} \\
Y_{n+1} & =Y_{n}+D_{n}\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } n=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) (0.7 pts.) Prove that

$$
Y_{n}=W_{0}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} D_{\ell-1}\left(W_{\ell}-W_{\ell-1}\right)
$$

(b) Prove that If $\left(W_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is a martingale,
-i- ( 0.7 pts.$)\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is a martingale.
-ii- ( 0.7 pts.) $\left(Y_{n}^{2}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is a sub-martingale.

## Answers:

(a) By induction in n. For $n=0$ the expression is true by definition of $Y_{0}$. Assume true for $n$, then, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{n+1} & =Y_{n}+D_{n}\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right) \\
& =W_{0}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} D_{\ell-1}\left(W_{\ell}-W_{\ell-1}\right)+D_{n}\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right) \\
& =W_{0}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} D_{\ell-1}\left(W_{\ell}-W_{\ell-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(Y_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) & =E\left[Y_{n}+D_{n}\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right] \\
& =Y_{n}+D_{n}\left[E\left(W_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)-W_{n}\right] . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
E\left(W_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)=W_{n} \Longrightarrow E\left(Y_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)=Y_{n} .
$$

(c) As $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is a martingale by (bi), the conditionned Jensen inequality implies that

$$
E\left(Y_{n+1}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \geq E\left(Y_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)^{2}=Y_{n}^{2}
$$

Alternative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(Y_{n+1}^{2}-Y_{n}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) & =E\left(D_{n}^{2}\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right)^{2}+2 D_{n}\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \\
& \left.=E\left(D_{n}^{2}\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)+2 D_{n} E\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \\
& =E\left(D_{n}^{2}\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)+0 \\
& \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equality is due to the martingale property of $\left(W_{n}\right)$ and the last inequality to the fact that $D_{n}^{2}\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right)^{2} \geq 0$.
Exercise 3. [American vs European I] Consider a stock with initial price $S_{0}=80 \mathrm{E}$ evolving as a binomial model with $u=1.2$ and $d=0.8$. Bank interest, however, fluctuates according to the evolution of the market: Initially is $10 \%$, but it decreases to $5 \%$ if the last market fluctuation is " H " (otherwise it remains at $10 \%$ ). An investor wishes to place a put option for two periods with strike price 80 E .
(a) ( 0.7 pts.$)$ Compute the risk-neutral probability.
(b) If the investor opts for an European put option,
-i- ( 0.9 pts.$)$ Compute the fair price of the option.
-ii- ( 0.7 pts.) Determine the hedging strategy for the seller of the option.
(c) If the investor opts for an American option with $G_{n}=K-S_{n}$,
-i- ( 0.9 pts.) Compute the fair price of the option.
-ii- ( 0.7 pts.) Determine the optimal exercise times for the investor.
-iii- ( 0.7 pts.) Show that the process of discounted option values $\bar{V}_{n}$ is not a martingale.
Answers: The asset price model is

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& S_{2}(H H)=115.2 \\
S_{1}(H)=96 & \\
S_{1}(T)=64 & S_{2}(H T)=S_{2}(T H)=76.8 \\
& S_{2}(T T)=51.2
\end{array}
$$

and the interest growth process is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{0}=1.10 & R_{1}(H)=1.05 \\
& R_{1}(T)=1.10
\end{array}
$$

(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{p}_{0} & =\frac{80 \cdot 1.10-64}{96-64}=0.75 \\
\widetilde{p}_{1}(H) & =\frac{96 \cdot 1.05-76.8}{115.2-76.8}=0.625 \\
\widetilde{p}_{1}(T) & =\frac{64 \cdot 1.10-51.2}{76.8-51.2}=0.75
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{p}(H H) & =0.75 \cdot 0.625=0.47 \\
\widetilde{p}(H T) & =0.75 \cdot 0.375=0.28 \\
\widetilde{p}(T H) & =0.25 \cdot 0.75=0.19 \\
\widetilde{p}(T T) & =0.25 \cdot 0.25=0.06
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) The process of option values is

$$
V_{0}=\frac{0.75 \cdot 1 \cdot 14+0.25 \cdot 8.73}{1.10}=2.76 \begin{array}{ll}
V_{1}(H)=\frac{0.375 \cdot 3 \cdot 2}{1.05}=1.14 & V_{2}(H H)=0 \\
& V_{1}(T)=\frac{0.75 \cdot 3 \cdot 2+0.255 \cdot 28.8}{1.10}=8.73
\end{array}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-i-V_{0}=2.76 . & \\
-i i- & \Delta_{1}(H)=\frac{0-3.2}{115.2-76.8}=-0.08 \\
\qquad \Delta_{0}=\frac{1.14-8.73}{96-64}=-0.24 & \Delta_{1}(T)=\frac{3.2-28.8}{76.8-51.2}=-1
\end{array} .
$$

(c) The intrinsic payoffs are:

Hence, the option values are

$$
V_{0}=\max \left\{0, \frac{0.75 \cdot 1.14+0.25 \cdot 16}{1.10}\right\}=4.41 \begin{array}{ll} 
& V_{2}(H H)=0 \\
& V_{1}(H)=\max \{-16,1.14\}=1.14 \\
V_{1}(T)=\max \{16,8.73\}=16 & V_{2}(H T)=V_{2}(T H)=3.2 \\
& V_{2}(T T)=28.8
\end{array}
$$

$$
-i-V_{0}=4.41
$$

$$
\text { -ii- Using that } \tau^{*}=\min \left\{n: V_{n}=G_{n}\right\} \text {, we obtain }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{*}(T) & =1 \\
\tau^{*}(H H) & =\infty \\
\tau^{*}(H T) & =2
\end{aligned}
$$

-iii-

$$
V_{1}(T)=16>E\left(\left.\frac{V_{2}}{R_{1}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)(T)=8.73
$$

Exercise 4. [American vs European II] ( 0.7 pts.) Prove that, given the same market model and strike price, an American option with payoff $G_{n}, n=0, \ldots, N$, can not be cheaper than a European option with final payoff $G_{N}$. Without loss of generality one can assume $G_{n} \geq 0$.
Answer: Using the notation of the course (and the book)

$$
V_{0}^{A}=\max _{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} \widetilde{E}\left[\mathbb{I}_{\{\tau \leq N\}} \frac{G_{\tau}}{R_{0} \cdots R_{\tau-1}}\right] .
$$

As the stopping time $\tau=N$ is among those in the right-hand side,

$$
V_{0}^{A} \leq \widetilde{E}\left[\frac{G_{N}}{R_{0} \cdots R_{N-1}}\right]=V_{0}^{E}
$$

Exercise 5. [Filtrations and (non-)stopping times] Two numbers are randomly generated by a computer. The only possible outcomes are the numbers 1,2 or 3 . The corresponding sample space is $\Omega_{2}=\left\{\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right): \omega_{i} \in\{1,2,3\}\right\}$. Consider the filtration $\mathcal{F}_{0}, \mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ is formed only by the empty set and $\Omega_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{1}$ formed by all events depending only on the first number, and $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ all events in $\Omega_{2}$ (this is the ternary version of the two-period binary scenario discussed in class).
(a) ( 0.7 pts .) List all the events forming $\mathcal{F}_{1}$.
(b) ( 0.7 pts .) Let $\tau: \Omega_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ defined as the "last outcome equal to 3 ". That is, $\tau\left(3, \omega_{2}\right)=1$ if $\omega_{2} \neq 3, \tau\left(\omega_{1}, 3\right)=2$ for all $\omega_{1}$, and $\tau=\infty$ if no 3 shows up. Prove that $\tau$ is not a stopping time with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_{0}, \mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}$.

## Answers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{2}(H H)=-35.2 \\
& G_{1}(H)=-16 \\
& G_{0}=0 \quad G_{2}(H T)=G_{2}(T H)=3.2 \\
& G_{1}(T)=16 \\
& G_{2}(T T)=28.8
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{\emptyset, B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, B_{12}, B_{13}, B_{23}, \Omega_{2}\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{i} & =\{(i, 1),(i, 2),(i, 3)\} \\
B_{i j} & =\{(i, 1),(i, 2),(i, 3),(j, 1),(j, 2),(j, 3)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $\{\tau=1\}=\{(3,1),(3,2)\} \notin \mathcal{F}_{1}$.

## Bonus problem

Bonus. [Converse of exercise 2] (1.5 pts.) Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be the filtration defined by a binary market model and let $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left(W_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ two adapted processes with $Y_{n}(T)<Y_{n}<Y_{n}(H)$ and $W_{n}(T)<$ $W_{n}<W_{n}(H)$ (as usual in the course, common arguments $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}$ are omitted from the notation). Prove that if both processes are martingales for a given measure - that is, for the same given $p_{n}, q_{n}$-, then one process is the stochastic integral of the other, that is, there exists an adapted process $D_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}=Y_{0}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} D_{\ell-1}\left(W_{\ell}-W_{\ell-1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suggested steps:
(a) Show that the existence of $p_{n}, q_{n}=1-p_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n} Y_{n+1}(H)+q_{n} Y_{n+1}(T) & =Y_{n} \\
p_{n} W_{n+1}(H)+q_{n} W_{n+1}(T) & =W_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

implies that there exist $\mathcal{F}_{n}$-measurable functions $D_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Y_{n+1}(H)-Y_{n}}{W_{n+1}(H)-W_{n}}=D_{n}=\frac{Y_{n}-Y_{n+1}(T)}{W_{n}-W_{n+1}(T)} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1}=Y_{n}+D_{n}\left(W_{n+1}-W_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Conclude.

Answers: I follow the proposed steps. As usual in the course, I am omitting common arguments $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}$ in the following discussion.
(a) The identity $p_{n} Y_{n+1}(H)+\left(1-p_{n}\right) Y_{n+1}(T)=Y_{n}$ implies

$$
p_{n}=\frac{Y_{n}-Y_{n+1}(T)}{Y_{n+1}(H)-Y_{n+1}(T)} \quad \text { and hence } \quad q_{n}=\frac{Y_{n+1}(H)-Y_{n}}{Y_{n+1}(H)-Y_{n+1}(T)} .
$$

Likewise, the identity $p_{n} W_{n+1}(H)+\left(1-p_{n}\right) W_{n+1}(T)=W_{n}$ implies

$$
p_{n}=\frac{W_{n}-W_{n+1}(T)}{W_{n+1}(H)-W_{n+1}(T)} \quad \text { and hence } \quad q_{n}=\frac{W_{n+1}(H)-W_{n}}{W_{n+1}(H)-W_{n+1}(T)} .
$$

Equating the two expressions of $p_{n}$ we obtain

$$
\frac{Y_{n}-Y_{n+1}(T)}{W_{n}-W_{n+1}(T)}=\frac{Y_{n+1}(H)-Y_{n+1}(T)}{W_{n+1}(H)-W_{n+1}(T)}
$$

while equating the two expressions of $q_{n}$ yields

$$
\frac{Y_{n+1}(H)-Y_{n}}{W_{n+1}(H)-W_{n}}=\frac{Y_{n+1}(H)-Y_{n+1}(T)}{W_{n+1}(H)-W_{n+1}(T)}
$$

These last two identities implies the proposed result (3) with

$$
D_{n}=\frac{Y_{n+1}(H)-Y_{n+1}(T)}{W_{n+1}(H)-W_{n+1}(T)}
$$

(b) From (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{n+1}(H) & =Y_{n}+D_{n}\left(W_{n+1}(H)-W_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
Y_{n+1}(T) & =Y_{n}+D_{n}\left(W_{n+1}(T)-W_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (4).
(c) Expression (2) follows by induction from (4), using the same argument as for Exercise 2(a).

