# JUSTIFY YOUR ANSWERS Allowed: Calculator, material handed out in class, handwritten notes (your handwriting) BOOKS ARE NOT ALLOWED

### NOTE:

- The test consists of five questions plus one bonus problem.
- The score is computed by adding all the credits up to a maximum of 10

**Exercise 1.** [Reduction of risk] An investor needs a stock one year from now. The stock is worth 100 E today and in one year it is expected to be worth 160 E with probability p and 40 E with probability 1 - p. The investor decides to borrow money and buy a call option with a strike price of 100 E —at its fair price. The bank charges 10% yearly interest.

- (a) (0.7 pts.) Determine, for each market scenario, the total amount payed by the investor *at the end* of the year to purchase the stock and cancel the debt.
- (b) (0.3 pts.) Show that the spread between the maximal and minimal amounts is smaller than the spread between the actual values of the stock at the end of the year.
- (c) (0.3 pts.) Show that the mean value paid is larger than the mean stock value at time 1, for some p.

## Answers:

(a)

$$\widetilde{p} = \frac{100 \cdot 1.1 - 40}{160 - 40} = \frac{7}{12}$$

Hence, at the end of the year, the debt of the investor is

$$V_0 R = \tilde{p} V_1(H) + \tilde{q} V_1(T) = \frac{7}{12} 60 + \frac{5}{12} 0 = 35$$

If  $\omega_1 = H$  the investor exercises the option and pays

 $V_0 R + K = 35 + 100 = 135$ .

If  $\omega_1 = T$  the investor does not exercise the option and pays

$$V_0 R + S_1(T) = 35 + 40 = 75$$
.

- (b) The spread of the previous two amounts is 135 75 = 60, which is smaller than  $S_1(H) S_1(T) = 160 40 = 120$ .
- (c) The mean value paid is

$$p \, 135 + (1-p) \, 75 = 75 + 60 \, p$$

if the investor buys the option, while it is

$$p S_1(H) + (1-p) S_1(T) = 40 + 120 p$$

otherwise. The former is larger if

$$75 + 60 p - (40 + 120 p) = 35 - 60 p \ge 0$$

that is, if  $p \leq 7/12$ .

**Exercise 2.** [Discrete stochastic integral] Let  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a filtration on a probability space. Let  $(Y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ ,  $(D_n)_{n\geq 0}$  and  $(W_n)_{n\geq 0}$  adapted processes satisfying the linear system of equations

$$Y_0 = W_0$$
  
 $Y_{n+1} = Y_n + D_n (W_{n+1} - W_n)$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

(a) (0.7 pts.) Prove that

$$Y_n = W_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^n D_{\ell-1} \left( W_{\ell} - W_{\ell-1} \right)$$

- (b) Prove that If  $(W_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a martingale,
  - -i- (0.7 pts.)  $(Y_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a martingale.
  - -ii- (0.7 pts.)  $(Y_n^2)_{n\geq 0}$  is a sub-martingale.

#### Answers:

(a) By induction in n. For n = 0 the expression is true by definition of  $Y_0$ . Assume true for n, then, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + D_n (W_{n+1} - W_n)$$
  
=  $W_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^n D_{\ell-1} (W_\ell - W_{\ell-1}) + D_n (W_{n+1} - W_n)$   
=  $W_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} D_{\ell-1} (W_\ell - W_{\ell-1})$ 

*(b)* 

$$E(Y_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n) = E[Y_n + D_n (W_{n+1} - W_n) \mid \mathcal{F}_n]$$
  
=  $Y_n + D_n [E(W_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n) - W_n].$  (1)

Hence,

$$E(W_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n) = W_n \implies E(Y_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n) = Y_n$$

(c) As  $(Y_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a martingale by (bi), the conditionned Jensen inequality implies that

$$E(Y_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_n) \ge E(Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)^2 = Y_n^2$$

Alternative:

$$E(Y_{n+1}^2 - Y_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_n) = E(D_n^2(W_{n+1} - W_n)^2 + 2D_n(W_{n+1} - W_n) | \mathcal{F}_n)$$
  
=  $E(D_n^2(W_{n+1} - W_n)^2 | \mathcal{F}_n) + 2D_n E(W_{n+1} - W_n) | \mathcal{F}_n)$   
=  $E(D_n^2(W_{n+1} - W_n)^2 | \mathcal{F}_n) + 0$   
 $\geq 0.$ 

The second equality is due to the martingale property of  $(W_n)$  and the last inequality to the fact that  $D_n^2(W_{n+1}-W_n)^2 \ge 0.$ 

**Exercise 3.** [American vs European I] Consider a stock with initial price  $S_0 = 80E$  evolving as a binomial model with u = 1.2 and d = 0.8. Bank interest, however, fluctuates according to the evolution of the market: Initially is 10%, but it decreases to 5% if the last market fluctuation is "H" (otherwise it remains at 10%). An investor wishes to place a put option for two periods with strike price 80E.

(a) (0.7 pts.) Compute the risk-neutral probability.

(b) If the investor opts for an European put option,

-i- (0.9 pts.) Compute the fair price of the option.

-ii- (0.7 pts.) Determine the hedging strategy for the seller of the option.

(c) If the investor opts for an American option with  $G_n = K - S_n$ ,

-i- (0.9 pts.) Compute the fair price of the option.

-ii- (0.7 pts.) Determine the optimal exercise times for the investor.

-iii- (0.7 pts.) Show that the process of discounted option values  $\overline{V}_n$  is *not* a martingale.

**Answers:** The asset price model is

$$S_{2}(HH) = 115.2$$

$$S_{1}(H) = 96$$

$$S_{2}(HT) = S_{2}(TH) = 76.8$$

$$S_{1}(T) = 64$$

$$S_{2}(TT) = 51.2$$

and the interest growth process is:

$$R_1(H) = 1.05$$
  
 $R_0 = 1.10$   
 $R_1(T) = 1.10$ 

D(II) = 10F

(a)

$$\widetilde{p}_0 = \frac{80 \cdot 1.10 - 64}{96 - 64} = 0.75$$
  

$$\widetilde{p}_1(H) = \frac{96 \cdot 1.05 - 76.8}{115.2 - 76.8} = 0.625$$
  

$$\widetilde{p}_1(T) = \frac{64 \cdot 1.10 - 51.2}{76.8 - 51.2} = 0.75$$

Hence,

(b) The process of option values is

$$V_{0} = \frac{0.75 \cdot 1.14 + 0.25 \cdot 8.73}{1.10} = 2.76$$

$$V_{1}(H) = \frac{0.375 \cdot 3.2}{1.05} = 1.14$$

$$V_{2}(HH) = 0$$

$$V_{2}(HT) = V_{2}(TH) = 3.2$$

$$V_{1}(T) = \frac{0.75 \cdot 3.2 + 0.25 \cdot 28.8}{1.10} = 8.73$$

$$V_{2}(TT) = 28.8$$

Hence

-*ii*-

-*i*-  $V_0 = 2.76$ .  $\Delta_0 = \frac{1.14 - 8.73}{96 - 64} = -0.24$  $\Delta_1(H) = \frac{0 - 3.2}{115.2 - 76.8} = -0.08$  $\Delta_1(T) = \frac{3.2 - 28.8}{76.8 - 51.2} = -1$  (c) The intrinsic payoffs are:

$$G_{2}(HH) = -35.2$$

$$G_{1}(H) = -16$$

$$G_{2}(HT) = G_{2}(TH) = 3.2$$

$$G_{1}(T) = 16$$

$$G_{2}(TT) = 28.8$$

Hence, the option values are

$$V_{2}(HH) = 0$$

$$V_{1}(H) = \max\{-16, 1.14\} = 1.14$$

$$V_{2}(HT) = V_{2}(TH) = 3.2$$

$$V_{1}(T) = \max\{16, 8.73\} = 16$$

$$V_{2}(TT) = 28.8$$

TT (TT TT)

-i-  $V_0 = 4.41$ -ii- Using that  $\tau^* = \min\{n : V_n = G_n\}$ , we obtain

$$\tau^*(T) = 1$$
  
$$\tau^*(HH) = \infty$$
  
$$\tau^*(HT) = 2$$

-iii-

$$V_1(T) = 16 > E\left(\frac{V_2}{R_1} \mid \mathcal{F}_1\right)(T) = 8.73$$

**Exercise 4.** [American vs European II] (0.7 pts.) Prove that, given the same market model and strike price, an American option with payoff  $G_n$ , n = 0, ..., N, can not be cheaper than a European option with final payoff  $G_N$ . Without loss of generality one can assume  $G_n \ge 0$ .

**Answer:** Using the notation of the course (and the book)

$$V_0^A = \max_{\tau \in S_n} \widetilde{E} \left[ \mathbb{I}_{\{\tau \le N\}} \frac{G_{\tau}}{R_0 \cdots R_{\tau-1}} \right].$$

As the stopping time  $\tau = N$  is among those in the right-hand side,

$$V_0^A \leq \widetilde{E}\Big[\frac{G_N}{R_0 \cdots R_{N-1}}\Big] = V_0^E$$

Exercise 5. [Filtrations and (non-)stopping times] Two numbers are randomly generated by a computer. The only possible outcomes are the numbers 1, 2 or 3. The corresponding sample space is  $\Omega_2 = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_i \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ . Consider the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is formed only by the empty set and  $\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1$  formed by all events depending only on the first number, and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  all events in  $\Omega_2$  (this is the ternary version of the two-period binary scenario discussed in class).

- (a) (0.7 pts.) List all the events forming  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .
- (b) (0.7 pts.) Let  $\tau : \Omega_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  defined as the "last outcome equal to 3". That is,  $\tau(3, \omega_2) = 1$  if  $\omega_2 \neq 3$ ,  $\tau(\omega_1, 3) = 2$  for all  $\omega_1$ , and  $\tau = \infty$  if no 3 shows up. Prove that  $\tau$  is *not* a stopping time with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ .

### Answers:

(a)  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\emptyset, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_{12}, B_{13}, B_{23}, \Omega_2\}, where$ 

$$B_i = \{(i,1), (i,2), (i,3)\}$$
  

$$B_{ij} = \{(i,1), (i,2), (i,3), (j,1), (j,2), (j,3)\}.$$

(b)  $\{\tau = 1\} = \{(3,1), (3,2)\} \notin \mathcal{F}_1.$ 

#### Bonus problem

**Bonus.** [Converse of exercise 2] (1.5 pts.) Let  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be the filtration defined by a binary market model and let  $(Y_n)_{n\geq 0}$  and  $(W_n)_{n\geq 0}$  two adapted processes with  $Y_n(T) < Y_n < Y_n(H)$  and  $W_n(T) < W_n < W_n(H)$  (as usual in the course, common arguments  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$  are omitted from the notation). Prove that if both processes are martingales for a given measure —that is, for the same given  $p_n$ ,  $q_n$ —, then one process is the stochastic integral of the other, that is, there exists an adapted process  $D_n$  such that

$$Y_n = Y_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^n D_{\ell-1} \left( W_\ell - W_{\ell-1} \right)$$
(2)

Suggested steps:

(a) Show that the existence of  $p_n$ ,  $q_n = 1 - p_n$  such that

$$p_n Y_{n+1}(H) + q_n Y_{n+1}(T) = Y_n$$
  
$$p_n W_{n+1}(H) + q_n W_{n+1}(T) = W_n$$

implies that there exist  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable functions  $D_n$  such that

$$\frac{Y_{n+1}(H) - Y_n}{W_{n+1}(H) - W_n} = D_n = \frac{Y_n - Y_{n+1}(T)}{W_n - W_{n+1}(T)}.$$
(3)

(b) Deduce that

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + D_n (W_{n+1} - W_n)$$
 for  $n = 1, 2, ...$  (4)

(c) Conclude.

**Answers:** I follow the proposed steps. As usual in the course, I am omitting common arguments  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$  in the following discussion.

(a) The identity  $p_n Y_{n+1}(H) + (1 - p_n) Y_{n+1}(T) = Y_n$  implies

$$p_n = \frac{Y_n - Y_{n+1}(T)}{Y_{n+1}(H) - Y_{n+1}(T)} \qquad and \ hence \qquad q_n = \frac{Y_{n+1}(H) - Y_n}{Y_{n+1}(H) - Y_{n+1}(T)}$$

Likewise, the identity  $p_n W_{n+1}(H) + (1-p_n) W_{n+1}(T) = W_n$  implies

$$p_n = \frac{W_n - W_{n+1}(T)}{W_{n+1}(H) - W_{n+1}(T)} \qquad and hence \qquad q_n = \frac{W_{n+1}(H) - W_n}{W_{n+1}(H) - W_{n+1}(T)}.$$

Equating the two expressions of  $p_n$  we obtain

$$\frac{Y_n - Y_{n+1}(T)}{W_n - W_{n+1}(T)} = \frac{Y_{n+1}(H) - Y_{n+1}(T)}{W_{n+1}(H) - W_{n+1}(T)}$$

while equating the two expressions of  $q_n$  yields

$$\frac{Y_{n+1}(H) - Y_n}{W_{n+1}(H) - W_n} = \frac{Y_{n+1}(H) - Y_{n+1}(T)}{W_{n+1}(H) - W_{n+1}(T)} .$$

These last two identities implies the proposed result (3) with

$$D_n = \frac{Y_{n+1}(H) - Y_{n+1}(T)}{W_{n+1}(H) - W_{n+1}(T)}$$

.

(b) From (3)

$$Y_{n+1}(H) = Y_n + D_n (W_{n+1}(H) - W_n) \text{ and} Y_{n+1}(T) = Y_n + D_n (W_{n+1}(T) - W_n).$$

This proves (4).

(c) Expression (2) follows by induction from (4), using the same argument as for Exercise 2(a).